# MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE HELD AT THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, COUNCIL OFFICES, KILWORTHY PARK, DRAKE ROAD, TAVISTOCK ON WEDNESDAY 27 JUNE 2018 at 10.00 am

Present: Cllr B Lamb

Cllr D Moyse Cllr T Pearce Cllr A Roberts Cllr P R Sanders

Becky Fowlds, Deputy Monitoring Officer Naomi Stacey, Specialist, Licensing

James Kershaw, Senior Specialist, Environmental Health Kathy Hoare, Senior Case Manager, Democratic Services

## Also in attendance and participating:

**Applicants** 

### \* LSC 1 APPOINTMENT OF CHAIRMAN

#### **RESOLVED**

That Cllr Sanders be appointed Chairman for the duration of the meeting.

### \* LSC 2 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

The Chairman invited Members to declare any interests in the items of business to be considered during the course of the meeting but there were none made.

# \* LSC 3 **EXCLUSION OF PUBLIC AND PRESS**

#### **RESOLVED**

That, under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting on the grounds that exempt information is likely to be disclosed as defined in Paragraph 1 of Schedule 12(A) to the Act."

# \* LSC 4 TO DETERMINE WHETHER TO GRANT TWO AND RENEW TWO HACKNEY CARRIAGE VEHICLE LICENCES, WHERE THE VEHICLES ARE CONTRARY TO THE COUNCIL'S ADOPTED POLICY

The Sub Committee considered an exempt report that sought to determine an application to grant two and renew two hackney carriage licences, where the vehicles were contrary to the council's adopted policy.

Officers proceeded to present the application and the contents of the agenda report.

In their conclusion, officers reminded the Sub-Committee that the application had to be judged against the Council's adopted policy and that public safety was paramount.

# **Address by the Applicants**

Following their address Members proceeded to ask questions of the applicants and further clarity was sought on the application forms and some of the explanations given by them.

When questioned, the Deputy Monitoring Officer confirmed that, should the Sub-Committee be minded to approve the application, it could do so as long as proof was provided as to why this decision had been reached. In addition, Members were informed that there was no set national standard and the contents of the policy varied across different local authorities.

(At 11.45 am (once all parties were satisfied that they had no further issues or questions to raise), the Sub-Committee adjourned in the presence of the Deputy Monitoring Officer to consider the application. The Sub-Committee was reconvened at 13.05 pm and the Chairman announced the decision.)

It was then:

## **RESOLVED**

That the Sub-Committee has considered very carefully the application and has decided to refuse the application.

Chairman